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Motivation

There is no point in denying that the world we are living in has become digital. You can shop for clothes, furniture, order food. Books became ebooks, phones became smartphones. Practically everything has its online version. Including ourselvs. We make online profiles on social networks, we put pictures of our friends, families, activities etc. Everything is online and more important everybody. Since people nowadays spend a huge amount of time online socializing, studying, shopping, entertaining, we decided to make a contribution in this. Since we were children we loved to play Briscola. It is a card game that was traditionally passed on us buy our families and friends. To preserve this tradition we decided to make an online version of this wonderful game.

Problem description and the suggeted solution

We have divided into 5 small challenges:

1. we are implementing one player versus another one

2. we are implementing a player versus AI version

3. we are making ''Learn how to play Briscola'' comic

4. implementing all together

Since the Briscola is a card game which all of us have played while we were young so we decided to make to create a fun version of the tutorial. We will design a comic in which we will explain every step of the decision making when you are playing Briscola.

Most of Briscolas online versions of this game have one option: player versus AI. While searching a bit more you can come across multiplayer versions. The most of this pages do not have integrated more versions inside. That is exactly why we are special. We will create something that is new but contains a lot of approaches that are being divided into several applications.

Also, our approach to ''Learning how to play Briscola'' is much more fun because it is different. Most of other sites poorly explain the rules through lines and lines of text. And we all know how that can be inefficient.

Another advantage we are going to have is a registration free website. Nowadays, almost every site needs a registration, needs information which users are tired of giving. That is exactly why we are creating this registration/login free website.

We are providing simple solutions to all of 5 problems in one.

With all of these advantages and modern and fresh approach we believe that more and more people are going to use our application. Also, since it is extremely simple and low key, it provides a really good background for turning it into desktop or mobile application someday.

One of the biggest Risks for our project is the Schedule Risk. What happens if we do not address schedule properly. Or in other words, if we miscounted the time off work we need per product and therefore the time for a project ending.

Then we are facing resources not tracked properly (staff, systems, skills of individuals etc). We decided to split work based on our skills. What if someone overestimated their skills. What if they need to use a software that is not inside the budget and do not know another way for finishing their part.

Also, we face a failure of not having enough investments. For example, one of our team members needs a software and another one needs a new computer to run their software. So there is a big risk of not having investments and eventually money to buy it.

Another example of budget risk comes directly from this. Let say we buy a new software and a new computer but miscounted the rest of costs. We are left with empty budget and still have costs to cover.

The risk of having people sick or injured is a pretty big and real problem. In a case of this risk our schedule changes, the working hours of other team members are being longer, we may even not finish the work until the deadline.

Finally, we are always facing Operational risks. This is what happens when if have no resource planning, not good communication in the team, failure to resolve our individual responsibilities, etc.

Our solution to all of these coming ahead risks are to try to be prepared for them. We are very careful with our costs, we have communication on daily basis, and video conference on weekly basis. We have divided into groups so that every team member has its backup that can do the same coding as the sick member.

Project goals and expected results

Goal description

The purpose of this project is to help preserve a traditional card game by digitalizing it. The goal is a funcitonal web application that enables anyone with a mobile device or computer and access to internet to play the traditional card game Briscola. This project should acheive more people playing Briscola as they do not have to buy a physical copy of the deck and do not need to find groups of people near them to play with. They are also able to play whenever they want with no planning ahead. In the case that person has only a few minutes of free time, he is not able to play a trick as he has, at first, to find people to play with. With our application, however, person simply has to click play.

Expected result

The concrete expected result is a functional web page/application.

It will containe a tutorial for teaching users how to play Briscola, a short version of the game's history, a play mode where the user will be able to select whether to play two-player game online, with another user who will be selected using FIFS matchmaking system, or against the AI opponent. The history part is for users that are interested in learning more about the game, and AI part is for the users who want to practice or chalenge themselfs at any time. It will also have an 'about us' page in case that the user wishes to contact us. The web application/page will be done using HTML5, CSS3, Javascript and PHP. It will use the standard frontend/backend approach. It will be useable by most, if not all, modern mobile phones and desktop computers with any OS as all that is required is a web browser that supports currently used technologies and an internet connection.

Project plan

Introduction/general description

The workplan consists of 3 phases each having many tasks in order to simplify developement.

Overlook of phases and activities

The first phase is precoding phase where is to decide on product specifications, project risk management, training in information design, tutorial developement and front end (webpage) design.

The second phase is coding phase where is to do the following: front-end coding (webpage), testing and bugfixing the front end code, developement of game 1v1 logic (two-players game logic), testing and bugfixing the game 1v1 logic, back-end coding (matchmaking system), testing and bugfixing the back-end code (matchmaking), developing AI for the game, testing and bugfixing the AI, general testing and bugfixing, integration of all elements (backend, frontend, logic), testing and bugfixing integration.

The third phase is the 'finish' phase where we write the neccessary documentation, design the final presentation and practice presenting it.

Description of activities

In this subsection describing all project activities. The description of each activity should be placed in the table (the table if necessary, copy). Each activity should be reasoned and should include verifiable opening and closing activities, the anticipated duration of activity (number of calendar working days) and the expected volume of work, expressed in man-months (CM). Activity may, where appropriate, divide it into sub-activities and tasks.

For better transparency can describe any activity on a new page.

In the first table in red for example, an imaginary activity (the stated objectives, outcomes, milestones, job description ... have no real meaning, said they only help you easily imagine what falls under each item); Replace text with your appropriate text and change the text color to black.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Table of activities** | | | | | | | | | |
| **Activity mark:** | **A11** | **Beginning date** | **2.11.2016** | **End date** | **4.11.2016** | | **Duration** | | **3 dni** |
| **Activity title:** | **Funkcionalne zahteve za arhitekturo** | | | | | **Activity scope** | | **0,6 ČM** | |
| **Goals** | | | | | | | | | |
| * Requirements Analysis of Architecture * Analysis of architectural solutions * Definition of basic requirements | | | | | | | | | |
| **Activity description** | | | | | | | | | |
| Members of the group will analyze functional requirements, with the result of a number of already known and widely used implementations of systems.  The basic guideline for drawing up the specifications for this architecture will require a clear architecture. | | | | | | | | | |
| **Dependencies and limitations** | | | | | | | | | |
| Activity A11 is the first activity in the project and has no dependencies. (OR: Activity A11 following directly the activities A0).  Milestone is a high-level definition of the requirements at the completion of activities. | | | | | | | | | |
| **Results** | | | | | | | | | |
| Certain functional requirements for architecture. | | | | | | | | | |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Opis aktivnosti** | | | | | | | | | |
| **Oznaka aktivnosti:** | **A12** | **Datum začetka** | **7.11.2016** | **Datum zaključka** | **10.11.2016** | | **Trajanje aktivnosti** | | **4 dni** |
| **Naziv aktivnosti:** | **Predlog arhitekture** | | | | | **Obseg dela** | | **0,2 ČM** | |
| **Cilji** | | | | | | | | | |
|  | | | | | | | | | |
| **Opis dela** | | | | | | | | | |
|  | | | | | | | | | |
| **Odvisnosti in mejniki** | | | | | | | | | |
|  | | | | | | | | | |
| **Rezultati** | | | | | | | | | |
|  | | | | | | | | | |

**List of products**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **List of project products** | | | |
| **Product code** | **Name of the product** | **Release date** | **Product type** |
| PC 1.1 | Requirements specification | 5.11.2016 | PO |
| PC 1.2 | Project proposal | 8.11.2016 | PO |
| PC 5.1 | Tutorial  = what it will  be and what it will include. 13.11 | 13.11.2016 | PO |
| PC 6.1 | Wireframes and sitemaps | 26.11.2016 | PO |
| PC 8.1 | Frond-end | 11.12.2016 | DP |
| PC 11.1 | Running code representing the game logic | 4.12.2016 | DP |
| PC 13.1 | FIFS machmaking system | 4.12.2016 | DP |
| PC 15.1 | Final Application | 4.1.2017 | DP |
| PC 16.1 | Documentation | 9.1.2017 | PO |
| PC 17.1 | Final presentation | 9.1.2017 | PO |

**Timetable**

[Gantt chart](https://github.com/Hidevel/TaskForce-Briscola/blob/ProjectProposal%23Valentin/charts/TaskForce - Briskola - Gantt.pdf)

[COCOMOII estimation](https://github.com/Hidevel/TaskForce-Briscola/blob/ProjectProposal%23Valentin/charts/COCOMOII_estimation.png)1

Dependencies

[Pert chart](https://github.com/Hidevel/TaskForce-Briscola/blob/ProjectProposal%23Valentin/charts/TaskForce - Briskola - PERT.pdf)

Analysis and Risk Management Plan

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Risk** | **Risk type** | **Risk affects** | **Description** | **Probability** | **Impact** |
| Server unavailability | Tools / Organiza-tional | Project | A server-side architecture with the required performance for the determined budget won't be available. | High | Catastrophic |
| Product competition | Requirements | Business | The envisioned product is not competent enough due to a new software release in the field. | Low | Catastrophic |
| Technological advancement | Technology | Business | A used technology is replaced by a more advanced one. | Very low | Catastrophic |
| Time underestimate | Estimation | Project, product | The required time to develop the desired outcome is underestimated. | High | Serious |
| Team member unavailability | People | Project | Due to some reasons one or more teammember is unable to work on the project. | Moderate | Serious |
| Specification delays | Tools | Project,  Product | Crucial implementation specification will be delivered late. | Moderate | Serious |
| Client-side resource unavailability | Tools | Project | At some cases there won't be enough client side resource for the web application or won't supports well the browsers used by the target audience. | Low | Serious |
| Lack of knowledge | People | Project, product | The required knowledge to realize the project is unavailable. | Very low | Serious |
| Specification change | Requirements | Project, product | Due to unforeseen consequences the specification of the delivered software will be changed. | Low | Serious |
| Size underestimate | Estimation | Project | The scope of the application is larger than it was forecasted. | Moderate | Tolerable |
| Technology undeperformance | Technology | Product | One of chosen technologies cannot deliver the estimated performance. | Low | Insignificant |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Legend for specific columns of the table above | |
| Column name | Possible values |
| Risk affection types | project, product, business |
| Risk type | technology, people, organizational, tools, requirements, estimation |
| Risk probabilities | very low (< 10%), low (10–25%), moderate (25–50%), high (50–75%), very high (> 75%) |
| Risk impacts type (with description) | catastrophic (threaten the survival of the project), serious (would cause major delays), tolerable (delays are within allowed contingency), insignificant |

**Risk planning**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Risk | Strategy |
| Server unavailability | Indicate in requirement change that this project's outcome is a prototype which will run on localhost during the demostration, but won't be available for public use. |
| Product competition | Create a mayor change of features that overcomes on the new product if possible. Alternatively use the available marketing tools to gain increased market share over the competent software. |
| Technological advancement | Currently used technologies will still be able to deliver the proper outcome of the project, but the launch of a process thread which aims the replacement of the old technology in the near future is necessary. |
| Time underestimate | Investigate possible code reuse or integratation of already written components. Look for proven solutions, solid implementations of the emerging problems. Invest more working hours into the project to deliver the outcomes on time. |
| Teammember unavailability | Possibly assign multiple members to different development processes, raise the members overalll understanding of the project. Allocate the unavilable person's work in the given time period between other team members. |
| Specification delays | Look for another component that can be further pushed toward the final state, while the required specification arrives. If it isn't possible raise the number of persons working on the specification. |
| Client-side resource unavailability | Develop the application in a performance efficient way and try to minimize the use of client side resources. |
| Lack of knowledge | Add an other member with proper insight on the given topic to the people currently working on the problem, or reallocate work according to the emerged uncapabilities. |
| Specification change | Properly research and define both present and the most possible customer requirements. Prepare the project in a way that it's extension won't come at a high price. |
| Size underestimate | Create a detailed and well organized implementation plan and a proper project scope can be defined. |
| Technology undeperformance | Chose implementation methods, languages and tools with proper insight on their performance, integratibility and compatibility. |
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Project management

We are using Github as a way of sharing and working together on the same project without overlapping mutual parts of coding. Each team member is having his own branch where they will be uploading their work. There will be two groups of work on our project: back-end and front-end.

Mia and Leon will mainly be doing back-end and Valentin and Tihana front-end.

We are also using Discord for video chats and having weekly online conversations.

Firstly we are doing the skeleton of over project. We are putting keynotes of what has to be done before something else. For example an implementation of 1 vs 1 and user vs AI versions. Since it will be coded and integrated by two different persons we need to establish who will be doing work first, and who will upgrade the first version. That is why we have our team leader Mia Filić and all of our product finishes go through her. She also makes sure that the work is split equally through all the team members and that everything is finishing on time.

**Description of the consortium**

Tihana Britvić, 23, is an Eramus student from Croatia. Finished Faculty of Science at the University of Zagreb in 2015. and applying for the master in Computer Science and Mathematics she came to the University of Ljubljana to finish her last year. She will be doing front-end with Valentin and the most of the project management on the project. She has knowledge of JavaScript, PHP, JQuery, Jason, HTML and CSS so for that reason she will be doing front-end.

Mia Filić, 23, is an Eramus student from Croatia. She is the leader of this team. Mia has finished her bachelor education in 2015. at Faculty of Science on University of Zagreb after which she applied for the master in Computer Science and Mathematics at the University of Ljubljana. She will be doing back-end with Leon, mostly concentrating on one player versus another player part. The reason why she is doing the mentioned part of work is her knowledge of Java, JavaScript, PHP, C, and C++.

Valentin Hidashi, 21, is also an Erasmus student. He is from Hungary. He is on a bachelor study at the University of Ljubljana. He used to work with HTML, CSS, JavaScript and SQL which he would like to perfect. For that reason, he is working on our front-end. He is really creative and wants to learn new technologies, which are prefect characteristics for our main front-end developer.

Leon Makorič, 21. He is the only non-Erasmus student in the group. He is studying for Bachelor at the University of Ljubljana at Faculty of Computer Science. He is most familiar with Java, Python and PHP and wants to learn how to implement an AI programme which is the main reason why he is doing the back-end part, with the accent on coding player versus AI part.

Financial plan

Planed costs of indirect costs are 20% of labor costs, which is considered to be a good practice.
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Appendix 1

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Task** | **Tihana Britvić** | **Mia Filić** | **Valentin Hidasi** | **Leon Makorič** |
| Project summary | 25% | 25% | 25% | 25% |
| List of products |  | 100% |  |  |
| PERT chart |  |  | 100% |  |
| Gantt chart |  |  | 100% |  |
| Risk management | 50% |  | 50% |  |
| Motivation | 75% | 10% |  | 15% |
| Project plan |  | 40% |  | 60% |
| Timetable |  |  |  |  |
| Consortium description | 85% |  |  | 15% |
| Financial plan |  | 100% |  |  |
| Project goals and expected results | 40% |  |  | 60% |
| Problem description |  |  |  | 100% |